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ABSTRACT
The article deals with the interrogative construction in Aceh language. This study used a qualitative approach. The study aims to find out; (1) kinds of interrogative words in Aceh language (2) interrogative construction in Aceh language by using X Bar Theory. The data in this study are Acehnese sentences that contain Interrogative words. The data sources in this study are (1) Acehnese dictionaries, (2) Acehnese Book, and (3) Acehnese speakers. The data collection process by an interview with the Acehnese speaker in Langsa and data analysis used X Bar Theory. The results of the study show that; 1) there are eight kinds of interrogative words in Aceh language especially in East Aceh dialect in Langsa such as: a) Pue. peue, b) Soe, c) Hoe, d) pat, dipat, e) pajan, f) pakon, g) padum, h) pane, i) pakiban. There are three categories of interrogative construction: (a) Open Interrogative, (b) Close Interrogative, (c) Rhetoric Interrogative. The Structure of the sentence in Aceh language constructs by using of the specifier, complement, and Verb. Specifiers put by NP and Complement put by Auxiliary, PP, or NP and Adverb can be preposition by PP or NP.

I. INTRODUCTION
Aceh Language is one of language in Sumatra, this language is a vernacular language that functions as a language connector, which is divided into several dialects; in the north area: Aceh Besar dialect, Pidie dialect, Peusangan dialect, and Pasai dialect (Budiman, et al., 1977). Even though there are several dialects, Aceh people support each other and maintain good communication with one another.

To maintain good communication among the speaker of each dialect, the people use interrogative sentences. Each language has specific rules and patterns (Denafri, et al., 2019; Hammarström, 2016; Campbell, 2008; Comrie, et al., 2005). The sentence formation processes are also a difference, including interrogative sentences. The interrogative sentence has an important function in the conversation because the conversation itself is asking and answering questions (Liu, 2016; Cuza, 2016; Haddican, et al., 2014; Déprez, et al, 2013). Furthermore, interrogative sentences also function to affirm, ridicule, shrink, or maintain relationship (Bolden, 2016; Hintikka, 2002; Fava, 1996; Maynard, 1994).

Interrogative is a sentence that contains interrogative intonation and means to ask (. In the written variety, it usually ends question mark (?), In Indonesian marked by “whether” and so on (Kridalaksana, 2008:148). Crystal (1997: 201) also explains that interrogative is a term used in the grammatical classification of sentence types and usually looks different from declarative, i.e sentences that refer to verb tenses or types of sentences/clauses that are usually used in question expression. Furthermore, Siemund (2001: 2) stated that “Interrogative construction can be divided into three, there are so-called polar interrogatives (polar questions), interrogative content (content questions), and interrogative alternatives”.

Sadock & Swicky (1996) and Aarts (1997) divided interrogative into three types; 1) close
interrogative, 2) open interrogative, and 3) Rhetoric interrogative. The first is open interrogative: What, Wo, Why, When, How, Where, which consists of new information. It can be a word constituent and phrase constituent. The word constituent has a free formula in a sentence which is combining which syntactical categories such as word, phrase, and clause. The question word can occupy one of syntactical function: Subject, Verb, Object, Adverb, and Complement. The second is close interrogative: What, What is, and How which can be found in the initial, middle, and final position. The question word does not replace the syntactical function. It also can be replaced by intonations to indicate interrogative sentence without changing the structure of the sentence. It consists of old information. The last is Rhetoric Interrogative: Rhetoric Construction In syntactical has the same structure with open interrogative construction and close interrogative construction.

The question word in this type not function as the question word. In semantic types of rhetoric, interrogative can be in a different meaning (Hintikka, 2002).

**III. RESULT**

**Kinds of Interrogative Word in Aceh language (East Aceh dialect)**

According to Sulaiman (1977) in his book of “Bahasa Aceh Jilid 1” mention that there are five types of Interrogative words in Aceh language, such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>AL</th>
<th>IL</th>
<th>Eng</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pa/pue</td>
<td>Apa</td>
<td>What</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Toh</td>
<td>Mana</td>
<td>Which</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pat</td>
<td>Dimana</td>
<td>Where(asking place)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>kemana</td>
<td>Where (asking direction)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Soe</td>
<td>Siapa</td>
<td>Who</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The question words have a similarity found in Langsa region based on East Aceh area. In interview result of Acehnese speaker found that the question words are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>AL</th>
<th>Eng</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pue</td>
<td>What</td>
<td>Asking about noun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Soe</td>
<td>Who</td>
<td>Asking about person</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ho</td>
<td>Where</td>
<td>Asking about Place or location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pat,dipat</td>
<td>Where</td>
<td>Asking about direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pajan</td>
<td>When</td>
<td>Asking about time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pakon,</td>
<td>Why</td>
<td>Asking about reason</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Padum</td>
<td>How much</td>
<td>Asking about amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>pane</td>
<td>Where/How</td>
<td>Asking about direction and condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pakiban</td>
<td>How</td>
<td>Asking about condition</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Kinds of Interrogative Words by Sulaiman**

**Table 2. Kinds of Interrogative Words in Langsa Region**

**II. METHODS**

This study uses a qualitative descriptive research design. The data were collected from the children’s fable book in Acehnese (Wildan, 2001). To verify the data, the research also included the interview with the Aceh people as informants.
Interrogative Construction in Aceh Language (Langsa as the basis of East Aceh dialect)

Several experts provide about the types of interrogative construction. Sudock & Swicky and Art (1996) and Aarts (1997) divided interrogative into three types; 1) close interrogative, 2) open interrogative, and 3) Rhetoric interrogative. Siemund (2001) divided Interrogative construction into three kinds such as; 1) Polar interrogative, 2) Interrogative Constituent, 3) Alternative Interrogative. Durie (1985) found that there are three types of interrogative construction in the dialect of north Aceh Such as; 1) Yes/no Question, 2) Other questions, 3) Rhetorical doubting question.

Interrogative construction in Aceh language in Langsa (East Aceh region) not yet discovered by the previous research above. On this occasion, the researcher used the theory of Sadock and Swicky (1996). Interrogative construction in Aceh language can be divided into; (1) Open Interrogative, (2) Yes/No Question (Close Interrogative) (3) Rhetoric Interrogative. In this study, the Interrogative construction took from Acehnese Speaker in Langsa (East Aceh region).

W/h Question (Open Interrogative Construction)

In Open Interrogative words in Aceh language consist of word ;Pue/peue ‘what’, Soe ‘Who’, Pakon ‘Why’, Pakiban ‘How’, Padum ‘How much’, pat, dipat ‘Where’. Those question words can become word constituents and phrase constituents. Question words as constituents of words have a sequence pattern that is not bound in a sentence. Question words can join syntactic categories of words, phrases, and clauses; question words can fill one of the syntactic functions, Subject, Verb, Object, Complement, and Adverb. In Open Interrogative, construction can be formed by NP combining with I (IP). Then Interrogative construction also can be formed by the use of complement as the internal structure with a verb.

1a. Yahwa heui aneuh geuh bak lampoh
   NP V NP PP
   [ active sentence]
   ‘Yahwa calls his son in the field’

   In (1a), NP “aneuk geuh” joining with V and become V’ first and the complement of VP. PP joining with V’ and form the second of V and then form become VP because of PP is adverb from VP. VP is complement IP joining with I become I’ and NP “Yahwa” is joining with I’ and become IP, and it is a specifier.

Fig.1. Declarative sentence

The sentence above as a declarative sentence, which one of the sentences can be changed into an interrogative sentence:

1b. Yahwa, hei soe bak lampoh?
   NP V NP PP
   ‘Yahwa, Who did you called in the field?’

   The Interrogative construction of (1b) can be categories as open interrogative construction because the question needs informative answers.

   Figure 2 shows the X Bar of Interrogative Construction 1(b)

   The sentence above (1b) showed that the question words come after verb the function of interrogative words as NP. 1c has a similar structure of sentence 1a. The word “Aneuk geuh” Change into “soe” which is the meaning “who” because the word “Aneuk geuh” is a noun. The position of NP “soe” move at the beginning of the sentence and have
The sentence structure of 1b and 1c has a function as a specifier and complement. The function of the specifier because of question word joining with C’ and become CP. If the question word put in the last sentence, the function of the word becomes complement because the question word joining with V and form V’. It can be concluded that the structure of the interrogative sentence in Aceh language the question word of; What (Pue) ‘Who’ (Soe) ‘When (pajan), Why (Pakon), Where (Pat/ dipat), How (pakiban) have the same function and the lexical function as a noun.

The example of an open interrogative sentence that analyzes by using X- Bar is shown that NP is a complement from VP because of NP join with V and become V’( first V Bar). VP is a complement of IP because of joining with I become I’ and IP joining with C and forming C’ that will form CP. The interrogative sentence above omit the use of the second singular person “gata” that functions as NP in that sentence.

Yes/No Question (Closed Interrogative Construction)

Closed interrogative construction, only three question words were found, namely, the words, what, what, and how. These interrogative forms are frequently found in the initial position or at the beginning of the sentence. The question word in closed interrogative construction does not fill or replace the syntactic function. Therefore, punishing questions with certain intonations can be done without changing the information in question. An example of an analysis of the use of question words in closed interrogative constructions can be seen in the following explanation.

2a. Gobnyan jak u blang singoh

Declarative sentence can be seen from Figure 4 above and close interrogative can be seen from the Figure 5. X-Bar showed that PP is a compliment because combining with V and become V”. NP “singoh” is adverb from VP because of combining with V” become V” and then, become VP. VP comes from IP and combining with I become I” and “Kak “ as NP is specifier because combining with I” and become IP, and then IP’ combining with C becomes C’ and then C’ become CP.
3. a.*gobnyan jak pukeuh u blang singoh?  
   b.* gobnyan jak pukeuh u blang singoh pukeuh

In interrogative construction auxiliary, PP or NP can be put the position as a complement, as shown below:

4.a Pat neu temeng nyan
   Adv P Aux V NP

4b. Gobnyan jak u blang singoh
   NP V PP NP

Rhetorical interrogative

Rhetorical Interrogative construction has similarities with open interrogative construction and closed interrogative construction. In certain cases, syntactically, the rhetorical interrogative construction also has the same structure as the open interrogative construction and closed interrogative construction. Semantically, in the rhetorical interrogative type, there are various meanings, including imperative, declarative, and exclamative. Question markers in the interrogative type are not used to ask questions. The information submitted is entirely old information. The meanings are different depending on the context of the sentence in question. In rhetorical question, the interrogative form is used to indicate a negative meaning (Durie :1985)

5. Keneuk keruja mangat na peng pue na masalah?
   VP
   I would like to work to get money, that’s your problem?

The question word above ‘pue’ is joining with VP, in syntactical, the use of what in interrogative rhetoric almost have the same construction with close interrogative. Which is can be joining with syntactical categories as word, phrase, and clause?

IV. CONCLUSION

The result of data analysis concludes that Interrogative construction in Aceh language can be divided into three categories; (1) open interrogative, (2) close interrogative, (3) Rhetoric interrogative. The Structure of the sentence in Aceh language constructs by using of specifier, complement, and Verb. Specifiers put by NP and Complement put by Auxilary, PP, or NP and Adverb can be reposition by PP or NP. Most of the interrogative sentences in Aceh language do not use the personal pronoun. They omit the use of the pronoun in the sentencing event. The meaning of the sentences is acceptable.
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